UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,849	05/02/2001	Alejandro Schwartzman	CISCP203	5618
22434 7590 12/04/2008 Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			EXAMINER	
			USTARIS, JOSEPH G	
OAKLAND, CA 94012-0230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
09/846,849	SCHWARTZMA Art Unit	N ET AL.
CHRISTOPHER KELLEY	2424	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 4 June 2008.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The R reason(s):</li> </ol>	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ler appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-63. Claim(s) withdrawn from core	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: sideration:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ition on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>CHRISTOPHER KELLEY</u> .	(3) <u>Joe Ustaris</u> .
(2) <u>Chris Grant</u> .	(4)
/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424	/Christopher Grant/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423